

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 YOULIN WANG,
8 Petitioner,
9 v.
10 RICHARD KAHN, et al.,
11 Respondents.

Case No. 20-cv-08033-BLF

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
ANSWER SHOULD NOT BE
STRICKEN AS TO FORENSIC
PROFESSIONALS GROUP, USA, INC**

12
13 On August 11, 2022, the Court heard and granted Douglas E. Klein's motion to withdraw
14 as counsel for Respondents Richard Kahn and Forensic Professionals Group, USA, Inc. ("FGP").
15 At the hearing, Mr. Kahn informed the Court that he intended to represent himself pro se. Mr.
16 Kahn also represented, as FGP's agent, that he did not intend to obtain counsel for Respondent
17 FGP, which, as a corporation, cannot represent itself. Civil L.R. 3-9(b); *see also, e.g., Rowland v.*
18 *California Men's Colony, Unit II Men's Advisory Council*, 506 U.S. 194, 201-02 (1993).

19 Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, in writing and before August
20 25, 2022, why the answer (ECF No. 91) should not be stricken with respect to Respondent FGP.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23 Dated: August 11, 2022


24
25 BETH LABSON FREEMAN
26 United States District Judge
27
28